Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000300010080-3 Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Tuesday - 12 August 1975 COMPOSITIO 9. Charles Snodgrass, House Appropriations Defense Subcommittee staff, called and we briefly reviewed the discussion between he and DIV/D, yesterday. Snodgrass said that the decision at this point is tentative at best and he would like to get together with in early September following the Far East trip. 10. Received a call from Peter Poole, in the office of Senator Charles Percy (R., Ill.). He said they were interested in any reports out of the People's Republic of China on the congressional delegation's visit there. He indicated that for some reason they have not been receiving the reports and they were particularly interested in any Chinese comment on the Senator's recent visit there. I told him I would check on this and someone would be back in touch. 11. Talked with Les Janka, NSC staff, about the material we had pulled together which was responsive to the Sparkman/Fascell/GAO inquiries regarding the Mayaguez incident. We discussed possible responses to Chairman Sparkman and Janka suggested that in effect we send an interim reply in view of the highly sensitive security classification of the post mortem and SitReps which were involved. We could then offer to either brief the Committee in executive session or sit down with the Chairman and discuss the matter further with him. A reply along the lines suggested by Janka has been drafted and sent to the Director. 12. In response to his earlier call, I contacted Ralph Preston, House Appropriations Defense Subcommittee staff, to tell him we had checked our files on Agency briefings of their Subcommittee on Chile and found there were in fact two briefings in which there were transcripts and one in which there was no transcript in which Chile was mentioned. I identified the transcripts as being briefings on 2 and 3 March 1971 and 3 Febrary 1972 and the other one, for which there was no transcript, was 1 June 1972. Reston said

we had checked our files on Agency briefings of their Subcommittee on Chile and found there were in fact two briefings in which there were transcripts and one in which there was no transcript in which Chile was mentioned. I identified the transcripts as being briefings on 2 and 3 March 1971 and 3 Febrary 1972 and the other one, for which there was no transcript, was 1 June 1972. I reston said since the request and the Chairman's interim answer clearly relate only to transcripts, he was not interested in any others. He also indicated and I agreed that in view of the sensitivity of the material involved in some of these briefings that he intended to provide the Department of Justice with only those pages of the transcripts that dealt with testimony on Chile. He asked if we would Xerox copies of those pages and send them to him on Thursday. I said I would do so. He pointed out that the Chairman's interim answer to the Justice Department does not make a commitment to provide this material but does indicate that the Chairman will raise this matter with the Subcommittee when the Congress returns from recess in September.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1A

25X1A

STATSPEC

	Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP77M00144R000300010080-3
	Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Page 2
	Monday - 11 August 1975
25X1	CONFIDENTIAL
AEV4	25X1
25X1	
	þ
	f f
•	
•	
25X1	
	7. Contacted Guy McConnell, Senate
•	Appropriations Committee staff, Clark McFadden, Senate Armed Services
	Committee staff, and Elly Vogtmann, of Pat Holt's staff on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, and asked that they make notations in their
	records that on this date we had contacted the Committee to indicate that
	the Director had received a new Presidential finding under the Foreign
19	Assistance Act on which he was prepared to brief the Committees.
	(Obviously, this would have to wait the Committees' return in September.)
25X1	·
23/1	Received a call from Mike McLeod,
	General Counsel, Senate Agriculture Committee, indicating an interest in information from the Agency regarding crop report studies which the
	staff must submit to the Committee upon its return in September. He
25V1A	is specifically interested in a briefing on Soviet grain production. I told
25X1A	McLeod that of our staff, had been in touch with Tom Saylor
•	of their staff, and had planned to contact him today for just such a purpose
	After conferring with Kelso, I advised McLeod that we would be available
	for a briefing of himself and Henry Casso, Chief Economist, whom he
	mentioned was also interested. The briefing was scheduled for 9:00 a.m. tomorrow.
	•
25X1	9. Received another call from Mike
	Oppenheimer, GAO, asking where things stood with respect to their
	requests for information to be included in the study being prepared on behalf
	of Representative Dante Fascell's (D., Fla.) Subcommittee on International
	Political and Military Affairs, of the House International Relations Committee
	having to do with the Mayaguez affair. I told Oppenheimer that we had pulled
	together considerable information on this but indicated that we were awaiting guidance from the NSC staff as to how to proceed. In response to my question,
•	Oppenheimer said they had been in touch with the NSC staff and were advised
	that they in turn were attempting to get guidance from Dr. Kissinger or
_	General Scowcroft on this matter.
25X1 \[ \[ \]	CONFIDENTIAL
	PONTIULIVITAL

